# SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, OCTOBER 27, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S197493 B224042 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. LE (RICHIE QUANG)

Time for ordering review extended on the court's own motion The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to December 27, 2011. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(c).)

S044693

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL CLARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 27, 2011.

S044739

PEOPLE v. BANKSTON (ANTHONY GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Steven E. Mercer's representation that he anticipates filing the respondents' brief by April 16, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 20, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S076340

PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAM THANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard C. Neuhoff's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by the end of March 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 27, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S079925

PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Appellant Joseph Adam Mora's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Joseph Adam Mora's reply brief by March 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 13, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S080276

PEOPLE v. NG (CHARLES CHITAT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kenneth N. Sokoler's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 21, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 23, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S113962

PEOPLE v. PARKER (CALVIN LAMONT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2011.

S126214

PEOPLE v. CRUMMEL (JAMES LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William Hassler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 23, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 23, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S131819

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 23, 2011.

PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN TAYLOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 27, 2011.

S133660

PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA (OSWALDO) & FLORES (JOSEPH CONRAD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Janyce Keiko Imata Blair's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Oswaldo Amezcua's opening brief by June 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 27, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S133660

PEOPLE v. AMEZCUA (OSWALDO) & FLORES (JOSEPH CONRAD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David H. Goodwin's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Joseph Conrad Flores's opening brief by June 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 27, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S140894

PEOPLE v. MIRACLE (JOSHUA MARTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 30, 2011.

S152556

PEOPLE v. OROZCO (JOSE LUIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to December 27, 2011.

ROLDAN (RICARDO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to December 30, 2011.

S191747 G041831 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. SAUCEDA-CONTRERAS (JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to November 10, 2011.

S191872

PEARSON (KEVIN DARNELL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Yun K. Lee's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 2, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 2, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S192536 H035123 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ANZALONE (CHRISTINA MARIE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 18, 2011. No further extensions contemplated.

S193534

WEAVER (LATWON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Angela M. Borzachillo's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 1, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to December 30, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

# ESTRADA (LEONARDO) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to November 28, 2011.

S154459

PEOPLE v. TURNER (CHESTER DWAYNE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the State Public Defender is hereby appointed to represent appellant Chester Dwayne Turner for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S130495

MASTERS (JARVIS J.) ON H.C.

Order filed

The orders filed on October 24, 2011, are amended to read as follows:

On application of the parties and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file exceptions to report of the referee and simultaneous briefs on the merits is extended to December 12, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days is contemplated. Responses, if any, must be served and filed no later than 30 days thereafter.

S131578

SCHMECK (MARK L.) ON H.C.

Petitioner's "Motion for Leave to File Additional Exhibit in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus," filed on October 21, 2011, is granted.

S194861

CALIFORNIA REDEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION v. MATOSANTOS (ANA)

Order filed

The request of counsel for respondents in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of respondents at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of respondents to allocate to Ana Matosantos et al., 20 minutes and County of Santa Clara et al., 10 minutes of respondents' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

BIVENS (JOSEPH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S197403

DAO (HIEN ANH) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S197424

TAFOYA (JOSEPH EVERETT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Six, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S197463

SOTO (WILLIAM) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.